1	JAMES D. BOYLE, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 8384	
2	E-mail:jboyle@nevadafirm.com	
3	SEAN E. STORY, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 13968	
4	E-mail:sstory@nevadafirm.com HOLLEY DRIGGS, WALCH	
5	FINE WRAY PUZEY & THOMPSON 400 South Fourth Street, Third Floor	
6	Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Telephone: 702/791-0308 Facsimile: 702/791-1912	
7		
8	BRIAN C. BUESCHER, ESQ. Admitted Pro Hac Vice PATRICK B. GRIFFIN, ESQ.	
9	Admitted Pro Hac Vice KUTAK ROCK LLP	
10	1650 Farnam Street Omaha, Nebraska 68102	
11	Telephone: 402/346-6000 Facsimile: 402/346-1148	
12 13	Attorneys for Plaintiff Meridian OHC Partner	s, LP
14	UNITED STAT	ES DISTRICT COURT
15	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
16		
17	MERIDIAN OHC PARTNERS, LP,	
18	Plaintiff,	CASE NO.: 2:16-cv-01161-JAD-CWH
19	V.	
20	MICHAEL A. DAVIS, an individual; and RUDOLF STEINER FOUNDATION, INC.,	
21	d/b/a RSF SOCIAL FINANCE, a New York corporation,	
22	Defendants.	
23	STIPLILATION TOINT MOTION	N AND [PROPOSED] ORDER STAYING
24		ON OF THE PENDING MOTIONS TO DISMISS
25	Pursuant to Local Rules IA 6-2 and 7-	1, Plaintiff Meridian OHC Partners, LP ("Meridian")
26	and Defendants Michael A. Davis ("Davis") a	and Rudolf Steiner Foundation, Inc., d/b/a RSF Social
27	Finance ("RSF") (collectively, the "Parties")	hereby stipulate to and respectfully move the Court
28	for a stay of all proceedings and deadlines	in this matter, including discovery, in light of the
ть		

KUTAK ROCK LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW OMAHA applicability of the statutory stay of discovery under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act (15 U.S.C. § 78u-4) to the claims asserted in this action. In support of this Stipulation, the Parties state the following:

- 1. On or about April 14, 2017, Plaintiff filed its Third Amended Complaint and Jury Demand in the above-captioned matter (Doc. 75).
- 2. On or about May 18, 2017, Davis filed a Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint (Doc. 78).
- 3. On or about May 18, 2017, RSF filed a Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint (Doc. 79).
- 4. On or about June 15, 2017, Meridian filed its briefs in opposition to the Motions to Dismiss filed by both Davis and RSF (Docs. 81 and 82, respectively).
- 5. On or about June 29, 2017, Davis and RSF filed their reply briefs in support of their Motions to Dismiss (Docs. 94 and 93, respectively).
- 6. On or about September 8, 2017, the Parties filed a Stipulation and Order to Extend Expert Deadlines (Doc. 95), for the reason that the Parties were hoping to avoid unnecessary costs and expenses associated with engaging in discovery, in particular the extensive costs involved in retaining expert witnesses, before the pleadings are settled in this matter and would therefore inform the scope of discovery. The Stipulation was granted by the Court on September 11, 2017 (Doc. 98).
- 7. On or about October 6, 2017, the Parties filed a Stipulation and Order to Extend Scheduling Order Deadlines [ECF No. 980] (Doc. 100), for the reason that the Parties continued to hope to avoid unnecessary costs and expenses associated with engaging in discovery and retaining expert witnesses pending the Court's decision on the two fully briefed motions to dismiss. The Stipulation was granted by the Court on October 11, 2017 (Doc. 101).
- 8. The Parties agree that the mandatory statutory stay of discovery of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act, 15 U.S.C.A. § 78u-4, applies to the claims asserted in this case because this action is a private action arising under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
- 9. The Parties stipulate and agree that all discovery in this matter should be stayed during the pendency of the motions to dismiss in accordance with 15 U.S.C. § 78u-4(b)(3)(B)

1 which provides: 2 Stay of discovery (B) 3 In any private action arising under this chapter, all discovery and other proceedings shall be stayed during the pendency of any motion to dismiss, unless the court finds 4 upon the motion of any party that particularized discovery is necessary to preserve evidence or to prevent undue prejudice to that party. 5 6 10. The Parties agree that other U.S. District Courts have applied the Private Securities 7 Litigation Reform Act's statutory stay of discovery in actions asserting claims under § 13(d) of the 8 Exchange Act, like the claims asserted by Plaintiff in this action. See, e.g., Ashford Hospitality 9 Prime Inc. v. Sessa Capital (Master) LP, No. 3:16-CV-00527-N, 2016 WL 9280323, at *2 (N.D. 10 Tex Oct. 27, 2016), Medical Imaging Centers of Am., Inc. v. Lichtenstein, 917 F. Supp. 717, 718-19 (S.D. Cal 1996). 11 12 11. The Parties further stipulate and agree that, in addition to the mandatory statutory 13 stay of discovery during the pendency of a motion to dismiss, all proceedings and deadlines in this 14 matter should be stayed until the Court rules on Defendants' motions to dismiss for purposes of 15 efficiency. 16 12. The Parties stipulate and agree that, in the event that any portion of Meridian's Third 17 Amended Complaint survives after the Court's ruling on the motions to dismiss, the Parties will 18 submit, within thirty (30) days of the Court's ruling on the motions to dismiss, a joint proposed 19 Scheduling Order. 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

1	WHEREFORE the Parties respectful	ally request that the Court enter an Order (i) granting	
2	this Stipulation and Motion, (ii) staying all proceedings, discovery, and deadlines pending the		
3	determination of the outstanding motions to dismiss, and (iii) requiring the Parties to submit a joint		
4	proposed Scheduling Order within thirty (30) days of the Court's ruling on the motions to dismiss		
5			
6		IT IS SO ORDERED	
7			
8		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
9		UNITED STATES MADISTRATE JUDGE	
10		November 21, 2017 DATED:	
11		DATED	
	Dated: November 20, 2017.	Dated: November 20, 2017	
12			
13	s/Patrick B. Griffin, Esq.	s/I-Chi Lai, Esq.	
14	Patrick B. Griffin, Esq. Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>	I-Chi Lai, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 12247	
15	Brian C. Buescher, Esq.	WILSON ELSER MOSKOWITZ	
	Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>	EDELMAN & DICKER LLP	
16	KUTAK ROCK LLP	300 South Fourth Street, 11 th Floor	
17	1650 Farnam Street	Las Vegas, Nevada 89101	
1 /	Omaha, Nebraska 68102		
18		Michael P. McCloskey, Esq.	
10	Admitted Pro Hac Vice	Admitted Pro Hac Vice	
19		WILSON ELSER MOSKOWITZ	
20	James D. Boyle, Esq.	EDELMAN & DICKER LLP	
21	Nevada Bar No. 08384 Hannah S. Goodwin, Esq.	655 West Broadway, Suite 900 San Diego, California 92101	
	Nevada Bar No. 13878		
22	HOLLEY DRIGGS WALCH FINE WRAY PUZEY & THOMPSON	Attorneys for Defendant Rudolf Steiner	
23	400 South Fourth Street, Third Floor	Foundation, Inc., d/b/a RSF Social Finance	
24	Las Vegas, Nevada 89101		
25	Attorneys for Plaintiff Meridian OHC		
26	Partners, LP		
27			
28			

KUTAK ROCK LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW OMAHA

1	Dated: November 20, 2017.
2	
3	s/Robert W. May, Esq.
	Alex Fugazzi, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 9022
4	V.R. Bohman, Esq.
5	Nevada Bar No. 13075
6	SNELL & WILMER, LLP 3883 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 1100
7	Las Vegas, Nevada 89169
8	Anna Erickson White, Esq.
9	Admitted Pro Hac Vice
	Robert W. May, Esq. Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>
10	MORRISON & FOERSTER, LLP
11	425 Market Street San Francisco, California 94105
12	
13	Attorneys for Defendant Michael A. Davis
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

KUTAK ROCK LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW Омана